



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/994,399	11/26/2001	Bruce C. Monk	AT-I	7853	
7590 04/19/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Joseph E. Funk			CARTER, AARON W		
PO Box 661 Londonderry, NH 03053			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•		2625			
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Whi

Notice of Abandonment 09/994,399		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner Aaron W Carter 2625 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address- This application is abandoned in view of: Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 16 September 2004. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 16 September 2004. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 16 September 2004. A reply was received on	•						
Agron W Carter Agron W Carte	Notice of Abandonment						
This application is abandoned in view of. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 16 September 2004.		Examiner	Art Unit				
This application is abandoned in view of: Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 16 September 2004.		Aaron W Carter	2625				
1. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 16 September 2004. (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance, (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☑ No reply has been received. 2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
(a) _A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of	This application is abandoned in view of:						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.187 (2). (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☑ No reply has been received. 2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is safter the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) ☐ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the p	(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _), which is after the expiration of the				
final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☑ No reply has been received. 2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☑ The reason(s) below. Abandonment confirmed in a telephone conversation with Joseph Funk (Reg. No. 25.794) on April 13, 2005.	(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	mendment which places the				
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. X The reason(s) below. Abandonment confirmed in a telephone conversation with Joseph Funk (Reg. No. 25,794) on April 13, 2005. BRAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISO INTEXAL							
from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 1. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. We have the M. MEHTA Supervisor.	(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.						
	2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c)), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of						
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. At the reason(s) below: Abandonment confirmed in a telephone conversation with Joseph Funk (Reg. No. 25,794) on April 13, 2005. BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISO: AT EXAL TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600	(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 1. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: Abandonment confirmed in a telephone conversation with Joseph Funk (Reg. No. 25.794) on April 13, 2005. BRAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISOR NOTER 2600	The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
Allowability (PTO-37): (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 1. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: Abandonment confirmed in a telephone conversation with Joseph Funk (Reg. No. 25,794) on April 13, 2005. BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISO: ATT EXAM- TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600	(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 1. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: Abandonment confirmed in a telephone conversation with Joseph Funk (Reg. No. 25,794) on April 13, 2005. BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISO. ANT EXABLATECHNOLOGY GENTER 2600		ired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of				
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. The reason(s) below: Abandonment confirmed in a telephone conversation with Joseph Funk (Reg. No. 25,794) on April 13, 2005. BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISOR ONT EXAMA TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600		(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	nsmission dated), which is				
the applicants. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. The reason(s) below: Abandonment confirmed in a telephone conversation with Joseph Funk (Reg. No. 25,794) on April 13, 2005. BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISO: INT EXAM- TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600							
1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: Abandonment confirmed in a telephone conversation with Joseph Funk (Reg. No. 25,794) on April 13, 2005. BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISOR DETAILS MEHTA TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600	•	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of				
of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: Abandonment confirmed in a telephone conversation with Joseph Funk (Reg. No. 25,794) on April 13, 2005. BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISOR INT EXAMA TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600		attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR				
Abandonment confirmed in a telephone conversation with Joseph Funk (Reg. No. 25,794) on April 13, 2005. BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISO: TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600			se the period for seeking court review				
BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISOR TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 BHAVESH M. MEHTA TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600	7. 🛮 The reason(s) below:						
SUPERVISOR TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600	Abandonment confirmed in a telephone conversation	on with Joseph Funk (Reg. No. 25	5,794) on April 13, 2005.				
TEOTHEOCOGY CENTER OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	SUPERVISOR THAT EXAM	د ۱۹۵۱ (۱۹۵۲)	AVESH M. MEHTA				
	· ·	we the helding of abandan	and all them a				

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)